

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,331	06/26/2003	David W. Deaton	026656.0332	7922	
26111 STERNE, KES	7590 01/14/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON. DC 20005			SHAH, AMEE A		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/609,331	DEATON ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
AMEE A. SHAH	3625				
	10/609,331 Examiner	10/609,331 DEATON ET AL. Examiner Art Unit			

	AMEE A.	SHAH	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) eal (with ap	an amendment, affidavi peal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le	dvisory Actio	n, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(b). ONLY CH	IECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	.ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period valued 73 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the ension and to hortened state than three m	ne corresponding amount tutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion there	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	sideration			cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orrespondi	ng number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		ched Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		ibmitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 			I be entered and an e	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) rejected Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome al	rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the stat	us of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT	place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		ogesh C Garg/ mary Examiner, Art U	Init 3625	
	711	nary Examiner, Art C	niii 3023	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowence because: Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuaviev. Applicant's arguments that Rehul does not teach detecting prices (Remarks, pages 2-4) are an attack against the references individually. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references. Braidvidually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See reference 42 2 2 413, 280 USPQ 371 (CCPA 1991); In re Merck & Co., 300 F.24 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Examiner has shown that Rehul with Christianson's use of shopbots teach the limitations of the claims as recited. In response to applicant's argument that the references all to show "product purchase information" is given the broadest reasonable interpretation, into which price information falls. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In er Van Geuns, 988 F.24 1181, 25 USPQ24 1057; (Fed. Cir. 1995). (Fed. Cir. 1995).